State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#3 Local FIPS Code	42	5	0	0	
#5 Most Recent Periodic Review Date	34	9	0	4	There were four error cases because the reviewer found that the most recent periodic review date was in the last half of March, 2006. There were three error cases in which the reviewer found a recent date, within the report period, but the date reported to AFCARS was greater than six months prior to the end of the report period. Most were close to being a year earlier than what was
#6 Child Birth Date	46	1	0	0	found by the reviewer.
#7 Child Sex	47	0	0	0	
1 = Male 2 = Female					
#8 Child Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native	37	0	0	10	
b. Asian	37	0	0	10	
c. Black or African American	37			10	
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	37	0	0	10	

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
se. White	35	2	0	10	In one record, the reported responses for all races was "no." In eleven cases, the reviewers found that this race did apply.
f. Unable to Determine	37	0	0	10	
#9 Child Hispanic Origin 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	38	1	0	8	The error cases should have been "yes," not "no."
#10 Has Child Been Diagnosed with Disability?	19	28			There were nineteen error cases reported as "not yet determined" and the reviewer found the information. In all of the cases, the child had been in care for two or more years. Some were in care for as many as ten years. There were two cases reported as "not yet determined," and the reviewer found that the child had a diagnosed disability. There were eight error cases reported as "no," and the reviewer found that a diagnosed disability existed.
#11 Mental Retardation	43	3	1	0	There were three error cases where this was reported as "does not apply," and the reviewer found that it did

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					apply. The questionable case is due to the AFCARS data indicating the child had been in care for seven years but the reviewer was not able to verify whether the child had a diagnosed disability.
#12 Visually/Hearing Impaired	45	1	1	0	There was one error case where this was reported as "does not apply" and the reviewer found that it did apply. The questionable case is due to the AFCARS data indicating the child had been in care for seven years, but the reviewer was not able to verify whether the child had a diagnosed disability.
#13 Physically Disabled	43	3	1	0	There were three error cases where this was reported as "does not apply," and the reviewer found that it did apply. The questionable case is due to the AFCARS data indicating the child had been in care for seven years, but the reviewer was not able to verify whether the child had a diagnosed disability.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#14 Emotionally Disturbed	25	21	1	0	There were eight error cases where this was reported as "does not apply," and the reviewer found that it did apply.
					In eleven error cases, element #10 was incorrectly reported as "not yet determined" and the reviewer found that this condition did apply.
					In two error cases, the reviewer found this as an additional diagnosed condition.
					The questionable case is due to the AFCARS data indicating the child had been in care for seven years, but the reviewer was not able to verify whether the child had a diagnosed disability.
#15 Other Diagnosed Condition	35	11	1	0	There were two error cases where this was reported as "does not apply," and the reviewer found that it did apply.
					There were five error cases where this was reported as "applies," and the reviewer found that it did not apply.
					Four error cases were due to element

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					#10 incorrectly reported as "not yet determined," instead of "yes," and the reviewer found this condition applied. The questionable case is due to the AFCARS data indicating the child had been in care for seven years, but the reviewer was not able to verify whether the child had a diagnosed disability.
#16 Has Child Ever Been Adopted? 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine	47	0	0	0	
#17 Age at Previous Adoption 0 = Not Applicable 1 = less than 2 years old 2 = 2-5 years old 3 = 6-12 years old 4 = 13 years or older 5 = Unable to Determine	47	0	0	0	
#18 Date of First Removal from Home	36	11	0	0	In seven error cases, the reviewers found earlier dates than what were reported to AFCARS.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					In one case, a later date was found. One error case had "zero" reported to AFCARS, but a date was found by the reviewer.
#19 Total Number of Removals from Home	39	8	0	0	There were six error cases reported as having only one removal, but the reviewer found two removals. There was one error case that indicated only one removal, but the reviewer found four removal episodes. One error cases had "zero" reported to AFCARS, but the reviewer found a removal episode.
#20 Date of Discharge from Previous Episode	38	9	0	0	Six error cases were due to only one removal being reported, making this element blank, but the reviewer found that there was two removal episodes. There were three error cases where this element was reported as blank, but two or more removals were reported and verified by the reviewer.
#21 Date of Latest Removal	41	6	0	0	In two error cases, there was actually more than one removal as reported, so the date of latest removal was wrong. In three cases, the reviewers found an

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					earlier date than what was reported.
#23 Date of Placement in Current Setting	36	6	1	4	
#24 Number of Previous Placement Settings in This Episode	12	23	7	5	In twenty of the error cases, the reviewers found fewer placement moves than what were reported. In three error cases, more placement moves were found.
#25 Manner of Removal From Home for This Episode 1 = Voluntary 2 = Court Ordered 3 = Not Yet Determined	46	1	0	0	
#26 Physical Abuse	37	9	0	1	In three error cases, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." In two error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					In three error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#27 Sexual Abuse	37	10	0	0	In four error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In three error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that
#28 Neglect	42	5	0	0	In two error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In two error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In one error case, it was reported as blank and the reviewer found that it did not apply.
#29 Parent Alcohol Abuse	32	15	0	0	In nine error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply."

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					In three error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply.
					In two error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#30 Parent Drug Abuse	40	4	0	3	In one error case, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies."
					In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply.
					In two error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#31 Child Alcohol Abuse	26	20	0	1	In fourteen error cases, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies."
					In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#32 Child Drug Abuse	42	5	0	0	In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#33 Child Disability	38	8	0	1	In two error cases, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." In one error case, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#34 Child's Behavior Problem	40	7	0	0	In one error case, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." In one error case, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In two error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In three error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#35 Death of Parent	41	6	0	0	In one error case, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies."

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#36 Incarceration of Parent	40	7	0	0	In one error case, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." In one error case, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In four error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#37 Caretaker Inability to Cope	36	11	0	0	In eight error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In two error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#38 Abandonment	41	6	0	0	In one error case, the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#39 Relinquishment	42	5	0	0	In five error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#40 Inadequate Housing	37	8	0	2	In three error cases, the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." In one error case, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did apply. In four error cases, a blank was reported and the reviewer found that this condition did not apply.
#41 Current Placement Setting 1 = Pre-Adoptive Home 2 = Foster Family Home (Relative) 3 = Foster Family Home (Non-Relative)	36	9	0	2	In eight of the records, the reviewer found that the child was in a group home. The option reported to AFCARS was "family foster home, non-relative" and the information for elements #49 - 55 (foster parent information) was reported as blank.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case	Not Match Paper File		Found	
	File	_			
4 = Group Home					
5 = Institution					
6 = Supervised					
Independent Living					
7 = Runaway					
8 = Trial Home Visit					
#42 Out of State Placement	45	0	0	2	
#43 Most Recent Case Plan Goal	30	16	0	1	In two error cases, the reported goal was "emancipation," but the reviewer found a goal of "reunify."
1 = Reunify with Parent(s)					There were ten error cases in which
or Principal Caretaker(s) 2 = Live with Other					"not yet established" was reported to
Relative(s)					AFCARS. In all of these cases the
3 = Adoption					child had been in care for more than
4 = Long Term Foster Care					two years. There were two in which
5 = Emancipation					the child had been in care since 1998;
6 = Guardianship					three since 1997; one since 1995; and
7 = Case Plan Goal Not					three since 1993. In all cases, the
Yet Established					reviewer found a case plan goal. The
					goals were: adoption = 1; long-term
					foster care = 2; "another planned
					permanent living arrangement"
					(APPLA) = 6; and emancipation = 1.
					There were two error records reported
					as blank. In one, the child was in care
					for two years and in the other the child

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
	File				had been care for seven years. For one case the reviewer found a goal of "long-term foster care" and in the other "adoption." There was one error case with "not yet established" reported for AFCARS and the child was in care for less than 60 days. The reviewer found a case plan goal of reunify.
#44 Caretaker Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 5 = Unable to Determine	33	12	0	2	There were five error cases reported with blanks, but the reviewer found the information. In four cases the family structure was "married couple," and in one case it was "single female." In two error cases, the reviewers found that the family structure was "unmarried couple," not "single female." In two error cases, the reviewers found that the family structure was "unmarried couple," not "married couple." There was one error case reported as "married couple," but the reviewer found "single female."

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

${\bf AFCARS} \ {\bf Assessment} \ {\bf Review} \ {\bf Case} \ {\bf File} \ {\bf Findings:} \ {\bf Foster} \ {\bf Care} \ {\bf Data} \ {\bf Elements}$

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					There was one error case reported as "single female," but the reviewer found "married couple."
#45 1 st Primary Caretaker's Birth Year	41	6	0	0	One error case was reported with a date of birth of 1990, and the reviewer found the actual year of birth was 1971. Another error case had 1991 and the reviewer found that it was 1971.
#46 2 nd Primary Caretaker's Birth Year	25	17	5	0	In four of the questionable cases, the reviewer wrote down a date of birth for a second caretaker. However, the reviewer also found that the caretaker family structure was "single female." In one questionable case, the reviewer noted a date of birth for a second caretaker but noted "not found" for caretaker family structure was "single female." In one error case, a date was reported, but element #44 was "single female." There were 17 error cases reported as blank. In eleven cases the response to element #44 was either "married couple" (10) or "unmarried couple" (one) and the reviewers found dates of

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
	File				
					birth. In four cases, element #44 was either "married couple" (three) or "unmarried couple" (one). In these cases the reviewer was not able to find dates of birth. In the remaining two cases reported as blank, one had element #44 reported as blank, but reviewer found that it was a married couple. In the other blank case, element #44 had a family structure reported in AFCARS.
#47 Mother's Date of TPR	43	3	0	1	In one error case, it was reported as blank, but the reviewer found a date.
#48 Father's Date of TPR	37	9	0	1	There were four error cases reported as blank, but the reviewers found dates.
#49 Foster Family Structure 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male	40	4	1	2	In one questionable case, this element was reported as blank. Element #41 was a "trial home visit," but the reviewer was not able to find the information for element #41. One of the "not found" cases was because the placement setting information was not found for the record (incomplete record). In one error case, the information was not updated from "married couple" to

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case	Not Match Paper File		Found	
	File				(-:1- f1- ?) The
					"single female." The reviewer found that the foster mother became a
					widow.
					In three error cases, the child was in a
					family foster home setting and this
					information was reported as blank.
					The reviewers found that two cases were "married couples" and the other was a "single female."
#50 1 st Foster Caretaker's Birth Year	38	6	1	2	In elements #50 – 55, the questionable cases were reported as blank. Element
Dittil Teal					#41 was "trial home visit," but the
					reviewers were not able to verify the information for element #41.
					In three error cases, this field and
					element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
#51 2 nd Foster Caretaker's	38	6	1	2	One error case was because the foster
Birth Year					parent became a widow and this
					element should have been blank.
					One error case was because a date was
					reported, but the foster parent
					structure was "single female" (verified by reviewer.)
					In one error case, this element was

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					blank, but #49 was verified to be "married couple."
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
#52 1 st Foster Caretaker's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native	38	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
b. Asian	38	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
c. Black or African American	38	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	38	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
e. White	38	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
f. Unable to Determine	3	3	1	5	In the error cases, this field and element #49 was blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
#53 1 st Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin	35	6	1	5	Three of the error cases were reported as blank and should have been "no."

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
#54 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Race	35	7	1	4	One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank.
a. American Indian or Alaska Native					One error case was because information was reported, but the foster parent structure was "single female" (verified by reviewer).
					In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
b. Asian	35	7	1	4	One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank.
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
					In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
c. Black or African American	35	7	1	4	verified to be a "married couple." One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank. In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home. In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	35	7	1	4	One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank. In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home. In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."
e. White	34	8	1	4	In one error case, all of the race categories were reported as "no," but the foster family structure was "married couple." The reviewer found that the individual was white.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
	File	-			
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
					One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank.
					In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."
f. Unable to Determine	35	7	1	4	One error case was because the foster parent became a widow and this category should have been blank.
					In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."
#55 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin	34	8	1	4	In two error cases, this element was reported as blank, but the reviewer found that the answer was "no."
					In three error cases, this field and element #49 were blank, but the child was in a relative foster home.
					In two error cases, the race categories were blank, but element #49 was verified to be a "married couple."

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#56 Date of Discharge	47	0	0	0	
#58 Reason for Discharge	47	0	0	0	
0 = Not Applicable 1 = Reunification with Parent(s) or Primary Caretaker(s) 2 = Living with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Emancipation 5 = Guardianship 6 = Transfer to Another Agency 7 = Runaway 8 = Death of Child					
#59 Title IV-E Foster Care	15	0	0	27	
#60 Title IV-E Adoption	31	0	0	16	
#61 Title IV-A AFDC	30	0	0	17	
#62 Title IV-D Child Support	28	0	0	19	
#63 Title XIX Medicaid	22	3	0	22	The error cases were reported as "does not apply" and they should have been "applies."
#64 SSI	24	0	0	23	
#65 None of the Above	27	0	0	20	

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 1, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

	AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
#66	Monthly Amount	1	1		45	

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case File	Not Match Paper File		Found	
#4 State Agency Involvement	24	0	0	0	
1 = Yes 2 = No					
#5 Child Date of Birth	24	0	0	0	
#6 Child Sex	24	0	0	0	
1 = Male 2 = Female					
#7 Child Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native	14	1	0	9	One record was reported as "no," but the reviewer found that it did apply. It was an additional race.
b. Asian	15	0	0	9	
c. Black or African American	15	0	0	9	
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15	0	0	9	
e. White	15	0	0	9	
f. Unable to Determine	15	0	0	9	
#8 Child Hispanic Origin					
1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine					
#9 Has Agency Determined Special Needs	6	17	0	1	In all of the error cases, the response to AFCARS was "no," but the

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case File	Not Match Paper File		Found	
					reviewers found that the children were determined to be special needs. Also, in all of the error cases, element #35 (is child receiving a monthly subsidy?) was a "yes."
					In the "not found" case, the AFCARS data indicated "no." The response for element #35 is "yes" and a subsidy amount is reported in element #36. The reviewer was not able to verify the information because of an incomplete file.
#10 Primary Basis for Determining Special Needs 0 = Not Applicable 1 = Racial/Original Background 2 = Age 3 = Membership in a Sibling Group 4 = Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities 5 = Other	5	16	2	1	The error cases had a response of "not applicable." The reviewers found that six had a "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities;" three were due to age; three were due to "other;" and one was a sibling group. There were four error cases in which the reviewers identified more than one special need.
#11 Mental Retardation	18	1	5	0	Not found #11 - 15: There were four error cases in which the reviewers identified more than one special need. One included category four in element #10. The other record marked as "not

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case File	Not Match Paper File		Found	
					found" is due to the reviewer who had an incomplete file and could not identify the child's special need.
					The error case was reported as "applies" and it should have been "does not apply."
#12 Visually/Hearing Impaired	18	1	5	0	
#13 Physically Disabled	17	2	5	0	
#14 Emotionally Disturbed	13	6	5	0	The error cases were reported as "does not apply," but they should have been "applies."
#15 Other Diagnosed Condition	18	1	5	0	
#16 Mother's Birth Year	21	2	0	1	The two error cases were reported as blanks, but the reviewer found a date of birth.
#17 Father's Birth Year	22	1	0	1	The error case was reported as blanks, but the reviewer found a date of birth.
#18 Mother Married at Time of Birth	19	1	0	4	The error case was reported as "no," but the reviewer the answer should have been "yes."
1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine					
#19 Date of Mother's TPR	21	2	0	1	The two error cases were reported as blank, but the reviewers found dates.
#20 Date of Father's TPR	18	4	0	2	In two of the two error cases, the

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
					AFCARS data were reported as blank, but the reviewers found dates.
#21 Date Adoption Legalized	22	0	0	2	
#22 Adoptive Family Structure	22	0	0	2	
1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male					
#23 Adoptive Mother's Year of Birth	21	1	0	2	The error case was missing a date of birth, but the reviewer found it.
#24 Adoptive Father's Year of Birth	22	0	0	2	
#25 Adoptive Mother's Race	17	0	0	7	
a. American Indian or Alaska Native					
b. Asian	17	0	0	7	
c. Black or African American	17	0	0	7	
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	17	0	0	7	
e. White	17	0	0	7	
f. Unable to Determine	17	0	0	7	
#26 Adoptive Mother's	15	1	0	8	The error case was reported as "unable

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS Matches Case File	Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File	Questionable	Not Found	Comments
Hispanic Origin	Nutrities Cuse I iie	1 tot Materia Paper 1 inc		Touria	to determine," but the reviewer found that it should have been "no."
#27 Adoptive Father's Race a. American Indian or Alaska Native	17	1	0	6	The error case for a - f was reported as blank, but the reviewer found the information.
b. Asian	17	1	0	6	
c. Black or African American	17	1	0	6	
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	17	1	0	6	
e. White	17	1	0	6	
f. Unable to Determine	17	1	0	6	
#28 Adoptive Father's Hispanic Origin	17	1	0	6	
#29 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Stepparent	22	0	0	2	
#30 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Relative	22	1	0	2	The error case was reported as "applies" and it should have been "does not apply."
#31 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Foster Parent	10	12	0	2	The error cases were all reported as "does not apply," but the child was adopted by his/her foster parent. This was in addition to one other relationship, which was reported.
#32 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Non-Relative	20	2	0	2	The two error cases reflected an additional relationship between the child and the adoptive parents.

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau February 2006

State: Maine

Report Period Under Review: October 31, 2004 - March 31, 2005 (2005A)

AFCARS Element	Data In AFCARS	Data In AFCARS Does	Questionable	Not	Comments
	Matches Case File	Not Match Paper File		Found	
#33 Child Was Placed	24	0	0	0	
from					
1 = Within State 2 = Another State 3 = Another Country	24	0	0	0	
#34 Child Was Placed by	24	U	U	U	
1 = Public Agency 2 = Private Agency 3 = Tribal Agency 4 = Independent Person 5 = Birth Parent					
#35 Receiving Monthly Subsidy	22	0	0	2	For elements #35 - 37, in one of the "not found" cases the reviewer found that there was no basis for special needs, but the AFCARS data for these elements indicated a subsidy is being paid.
#36 Monthly Amount	22	0	0	2	
#37 Adoption Assistance	20	2	0	2	